

1 The Hon. Richard S. Martinez
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
12 AT SEATTLE
13
14

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
16 Plaintiff,
17 v.
18 JUSTIN COSTELLO,
19 Defendant.
20
21

22 NO. CR22-160-RSM
23
24

25 **FINAL ORDER OF FORFEITURE**
26
27

28 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Final
29 Order of Forfeiture of the following substitute property ("Subject Property"):
30
31

32 a. \$60,000.05 in United States currency;
33 b. 63,311.95 Mexican pesos (converted to United States dollars);
34 c. five PAMP 1 oz .999 gold bars;
35 d. one Sunshine Mint 1 oz .999 gold bar;
36 e. one Chopard "Mille Miglia" limited edition 14/250 18k yellow gold
37 wristwatch with black dial and original black leather band, Model
38 #1254, Serial number 939050;
39 f. one Chopard "Monaco Historique" stainless steel wristwatch with
40 white dial and black leather band, Model # 8568, Serial # 1929287;
41
42

- g. one 14k yellow gold gun pendant set with genuine 2.4mm round rubies, emeralds, and diamonds (9 of each) in grip of gun; and
- h. one 18k yellow gold grenade charm set with 31 0.01 carat full-cut round diamonds.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other pleadings and papers filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of a Final Order of Forfeiture is appropriate for the following reasons:

8 1. On April 14, 2023, the Court entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture,
9 finding the Subject Property forfeitable pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), and forfeiting the
10 Defendant's interest in it, Dkt. No. 26;

11 2. Thereafter, the United States published notice of the pending forfeitures as
12 required by 21 U.S.C. § 853(n)(1) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(6)(C)
13 (Dkt. No. 33) and provided direct notice to four potential claimants as required by Fed. R.
14 Crim. P. 32.2(b)(6)(A), *see* Declaration of AUSA Jehiel I. Baer in Support of Motion for
15 Final Order of Forfeiture (“Baer Decl.”) ¶ 2, Exhibits A–D;

16 3. Third-party petitioner David Levi & Sons filed a petition claiming an
17 interest in one gold chain included in the Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, Dkt. No. 47,
18 but the United States and Petitioner David Levi & Sons settled the claims asserted in that
19 petition, Dkt. No. 50, the Court approved the settlement, *id.*, and the gold chain is not
20 included in the Subject Property;

21 4. Katrina Rosseini filed a petition claiming an interest in all of the property
22 included in the Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, Dkt. No. 46, but Ms. Rosseini later
23 withdrew her petition, Dkt. No. 48;

24 5. The time for filing third-party petitions has expired, and no other petitions
25 were filed.

1 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

2 1. No right, title, or interest in the Subject Property exists in any party other
3 than the United States;

4 2. The Subject Property is fully and finally condemned and forfeited, in its
5 entirety, to the United States; and,

6 3. The United States Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of
7 Investigation, and/or its representatives, are authorized to dispose of the Subject Property
8 as permitted by governing law.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 DATED this 27th day of May, 2025.

13
14 

15 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

17
18 Presented by:

19
20 s/ Jehiel I. Baer

21 JEHIEL I. BAER

22 Assistant United States Attorney
23 United States Attorney's Office
24 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
25 Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: (206) 553-2242
Fax: (206) 553-6934
Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov